



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,997	02/02/2006	Michael Heckmeier	MERCK-3119	9634
23599	7590	04/01/2009	EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			WU, SHEAN CHIU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/566,997	HECKMEIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C. Wu	1795	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3-4 and 8-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andou et al. (US 6,007,740 or 6,187,223).

The reference discloses a compound of formula (1) having a difluoromethyl ether group, which has good liquid crystal properties. The reference liquid crystal composition comprising a compound of formula (1) is useful for liquid crystal display devices. The formula (1) reads on the present formula IA and the present formula I is encompassed by the reference formula (3), particular (3-1) to (3-3) on col. 27 and (5-1) on col. 38 of US '740. The reference compositions 8, 28 and use example 26 comprise 38%, 31% and 36% of the present formula I, respectively.

Composition Example 9	
3-HBEB(F)-OCP2CFCF3	5.0%
3-HBCF2OB(F)-OCP2CFCF3	5.0%
3-BEB(F)-C	5.0%
V---HB---C	11.0%
3-PyB---C	6.0%
3-BB-3	11.0%
3-HH-2V	10.0%
3-HH---V	11.0%
V---HBB-3	7.0%
V2-HBB-1	10.0%
3-HBB-1	4.0%
3V2-HBB-2	10.0%
3-HHEBBL-3	8.0%

Composition Example 28	
3-HBCF2OB(F)-OCP2CF2H	3.0%
3-BEB(F)-OCP2CF2H	3.0%
3-HBEB(F)-OCP2CFCF3	3.0%
3-BBEB(F)-C	8.0%
3-HB---C	8.0%
V-HB---C	8.0%
3VHB---C	8.0%
3-HB---O2	3.0%
3-HB-2V	14.0%
3-HH-2V1	7.0%
V2-HBB-1	10.0%
3-HBB-1	5.0%
3-HHEB---F	7.0%
3-H2BTR-2	2.0%
3-H2BTR-3	6.0%
3-H2BTR-4	5.0%

Use Example 26	
3-HBCP1OB(FF)-F	5.0%
3-BEB(F)-C	8.0%
3-HB---C	8.0%
V-HB---C	8.0%
3VHB---C	8.0%
3-HB---O2	3.0%
3-HH-2V	14.0%
3-HH-2V1	7.0%
V2-HBB-1	15.0%
3-HBB-1	5.0%
3-HHEB---F	2.0%
3-H2BTR-2	6.0%

3-HB38TB-3 6.0%
3-HB38TB-4 5.0%
NI = 95.6 (°C.)
 $\eta = 15.7$ (mPa · s)
 $\Delta n = 0.133$
 $\Delta t = 9.0$
 $\Delta \eta = 3.12$ (N)

The 3-HB-O2 reads on the present formula RV.

The reference differs from the present invention in that the present invention is not exemplified by the reference. The present formula IA is not disclosed by the reference compositions above but the present formula IA is disclosed by the reference formulae 1-14-1, 1-14-3, 1-14-4, 1-17-1, 1-17-3, 1-17-4 and 4-16 to 4-24. Because these formulae are equivalent to the first compound of above examples, therefore, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to substitute these formulae for the first compound of above examples to arrive at the claimed invention.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,175,891. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present claims encompass the claims of US ‘891.

5. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 7,105,210. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the parts of the claimed subject matters between the present invention and US ‘210 are the same.

6. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 7,056,561. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the parts of the claimed subject matters between the present invention and US ‘561 are the same.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/31/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argued that the claimed medium "not exemplified" is not enough reasons for the obviousness type rejection. Applicant's attention is directed to the present specification of formula IA, which has **enormous permutations but only two compounds (CGUQU-2OT and CGUQU-3OT) are exemplified in the example M6**. The suitable compounds in the claimed formula IA are disclosed by the reference formulae 1-14-1, 1-14-3, 1-14-4, 1-17-1, 1-17-3 and 1-17-4. Therefore the rejection under Andou is still maintained. For the obviousness-type double patenting rejection, Applicant's attention is directed to the compounds R¹ in formula I of US '891, which can be alkenyl group. Also see the claim 8. See R⁰ in formula II and claim10 of US '210. It the same for US '561. With regard to the rejections over Heckmeier have been withdrawn in light of the statement of common ownership in remarks.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shean C Wu/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

scw